

Oct. 3, 2011 (#932)

# Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Oct. 3, 2011:

## **Those in Human Farming say Life is Charming:**

**"There's Money to Be Made on Everything You Do,  
Yes Gov. and Business have Big Interest in You,  
It's Important to Believe All Media Spin  
And Never Understand the Mess You're In,  
You're Both Consumer and Taxpayer,  
Taxes Both Overt and the Hidden Layer,  
Your Health is Monitored, Long-Term Prognosis,  
To See if You'll have Illness or Psychosis,  
Understand, To Balance Books, Gov. Must Know  
If Your Life is Productive, How Long You'll Go,  
That's Why Agencies into Your Life Looks  
So Government can Project and Balance its Books"**

© Alan Watt Oct. 3, 2011

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 3, 2011 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://.us) , [.ca](http://.ca)  
[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenkness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenkness.com)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

---

## **Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:**

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL *postal*  
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is **Cutting Through The Matrix** on October the  
3<sup>rd</sup> 2011. For newcomers, you should look into the web site

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and you'll find hundreds of audios for free download; help yourself to them. Hopefully they'll give you shortcuts to understanding this well managed system we live in. We think it's chaotic but it's not really. It's well managed by experts in the mind and sociology, mass psychology and basically they give us our thoughts, our trends. They work with the culture industry. They work with the media. It's all one big consortium really that's out there to give you your reality. And people do swallow it. And it's very hard, initially, to break out of it. You want to believe everything is real. You can't believe you've been fooled your whole life. And that's the biggest shocker of all, is to find out that, yeah, you have been, if you're honest enough about it; then, what are you going to do about it? And the first thing you do is learn as much as you can. You'll go through lots of traps on the way, because the big boys who run reality know you'll go through a formula and they make sure that you get carried off into a thousand different directions into other galaxies and everything else, anything you can imagine that's out there, believe you me. It takes a lot of study to get back to what truth actually is. You must also start using your own memory, because really, that's the best record that you have. You've experienced changes in your own life that made no sense, at least not compared to what they were giving you as the facts at the time for changes and all the rest of it. You got to start using that, what you've learned and then apply it to the past and you'll see the pattern, how tricks have been played down through the ages. And they don't change the techniques because they work every time, so why change the formula?

So anyway, help yourself to those audios and remember all those sites there on the .com site have transcripts in English as well for print up. And you can go into [alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu) for transcripts of some of the talks I've given and you'll find them in other languages there for print up as well. **Remember too, you are the audience that brings me to you. You can buy the books and disks that I've got for sale at [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com). I don't take on the guest, as advertisers, as most people do to make their living - I do it the suicidal way - which is okay. It's up to you to keep me going so you can buy the books and disks I have for sale. [Order and donation options listed above.] And remember, straight donations are really, really, awfully welcome right now**, because in the past I never pushed myself enough and everyone gets so used to me coming on every night just like any other regular show on television or something like that and they don't realize that you're dependent upon them for the cash.

I try and give you shortcuts, as I say, to the understanding of the big picture here and to show you that those who hold power in all ages make sure they continue to hold power in the next age because they plan the future. They plan the kind of society they want and believe you me, to them it's warfare, in fact, upon society. It's done on that kind of mode with think tanks and strategists; in fact a lot of them come from the military, in fact, the top officials. They join the think tanks and they work on society, how to promote trends, ideas in thinking, new ways of living. All of that kind of stuff is coordinated at the top, the capstone I call it, because there's definitely a controlling factor in the center of all these think tanks and NGOs and foundations that run *parallel* to what you think is government. They're higher than government; they put their own members into government in fact. I might touch on some of that tonight. Back with more after these messages.

Hi folks, I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. I think a lot of people now have wisened up at least, especially since 9/11, people have kind of woken up

to at least something big was happening. They think they're awake but they're not really completely awake, until you get out of the paradigms you were in already. Because really, we become shocked when we see that this system, that we've been living in quite happily, is being shaken. And often people can't go back and say, *wait a minute, we were living happily inside a kind of safe paradigm, but who created that one?* Because the countries are always changing the systems, they're always upgrading their system. And even though they go back on platitudes to the old days, or foundations of countries, it's nothing like the real foundations at all. We've come such a long way with massive social changes foisted upon the public, but it's always been from the top, from the top, from those corporations, international corporations that really run countries, including the banking corporations as well. Because these are the guys who get together, with the power, to change things. They set out policies through their think tanks and how to implement them on society. These are the people who have the say, along with UNICEF and the United Nations educational organizations to make sure everyone gets a standardized if albeit false education, especially to do with histories. And it works very, very well. We've all had the same indoctrinations and nothing much really changes. But we're going into the system now where you're living in a world...

I've always said, they used to follow the plan of Revelation in the Bible, these characters; they follow it, you see. Some people see it as a prophecy and others see it as basically a business plan you might say. And when you look at it, the time would come where all the secrets would be shouted from the rooftops. Well, in the days of the internet they certainly are to an extent; it depends who's doing the shouting and whose information they're shouting about. But governments now have all agreed, and they obviously agreed an awful long time ago, probably before we were even given the internet, because it was used by the Military-Industrial Complex before the public ever heard of it. There's no doubt that this really is there to serve them. And it's also a great tool for keeping the pulse on the public, ALL the time. They know what all the daily chitchat's about. They know how far to push the envelope in any particular area. If it gets too hot they'll scale it back a little bit. If the people are fairly quiet they'll push forward with different social agendas and price increases, that kind of stuff that they're doing all the time anyway.

So anyway, this article here is from Reuters, because they route the news to you. So I call them Routers actually; they call them Reuters. That was a company, actually, that was set up by the Rothschild family initially to take over all, and feed all news organizations the same stuff. I think there's only two or three companies now that do it, and they're probably all owned by the same guy. Anyway, that's how you standardize information and decide what we're going to prattle about for the day. Anyway, this article here's about internet companies...

## **Internet firms co-opted for surveillance: experts**

reuters.com / Georgina Prodhan / NAIROBI | Sep 30, 2011

NAIROBI (Reuters) - Internet companies such as Google, Twitter and Facebook are increasingly co-opted for surveillance work as the information they gather proves irresistible to law enforcement agencies, Web experts said this week. (*Alan: They love experts, eh? They just happen to be experts on the web.*)

Although such companies try to keep their users' information private (A: *That's a joke!*), their business models depend on exploiting it to sell targeted advertising, and when governments demand they hand it over, they have little choice but to comply. (A: *Well actually they sell it, they've been doing this for years, to the cops and the government.*)

Suggestions that BlackBerry maker RIM (A: *RIM, I guess they call it.*) might give user data to British police after its messenger service was used to coordinate riots this summer caused outrage -- as has the spying on social media users by more oppressive governments.

But the vast amount of personal information that companies like Google collect to run their businesses has become simply too valuable for police and governments to ignore, delegates to the Internet Governance Forum in Nairobi said. (A: *They always hold it in some faraway place, don't they?*)

"When the possibility exists for information to be obtained that wasn't possible before, it's entirely understandable that law enforcement is interested," Google's Chief Internet Evangelist Vint Cerf told Reuters in an interview.

"Then the issue would be, what's the right policy? And that, or course, engenders a lot of debate," said Cerf, who is recognized as one of the "fathers of the Internet" for his early work in areas including communications protocols and email.

Demands from governments for Internet companies to hand over user information have become **routine**, according to online privacy researcher and activist Christopher Soghoian, who makes extensive use of freedom-of-information requests in his work.

"Every decent-sized U.S. telecoms and Internet company has a team that does nothing but respond to requests for information," Soghoian told (A: *Routers....*) Reuters in an interview.

Soghoian estimates that U.S. Internet and telecoms companies may receive about 300,000 such requests in connection with law enforcement each year -- but public information is scarce.

While U.S. courts are obliged to publish reports on wire-tapping of telephone lines, no similar information is required to be made public with respect to the Internet -- which grew up after the laws on electronic communications were passed.

Google does voluntarily publish a transparency report every six months in which it details the number of requests it receives from governments around the world to remove content from its services or hand over user data.

But the numbers do not reveal how many users are affected by each request -- only trends country by country ([www.google.com/transparencyreport](http://www.google.com/transparencyreport)).

Some governments are requiring Internet companies to collect more data and keep it for longer, said Katarzyna Szymielewicz, executive director of Poland's Panoptikon Foundation, which campaigns for human rights in light of modern surveillance.

"Government agencies throughout the world are pushing companies to collect even more data than is needed for their business purposes," she told the conference.

"For example, we have a very controversial data retention regime which is currently under review. This requires people to store data for a period up to two years so it can easily be accessed by law enforcement agencies." (A: *It's WAY beyond that. And you think they're ever telling us the truth anyway? Do you really think they'll ever tell us the truth? Of course they won't. You know, authorities have never told the public the truth about anything.*)

The ease and cost of surveillance are at an all-time low, Soghoian said, with Google charging an administrative fee of \$25 to hand over data, Yahoo charging \$20, and Microsoft and Facebook providing data for free. (A: *Because they're all really part of the National Security Agency as far as I'm concerned.*)

"The marginal cost of surveilling one more person is now essentially approaching zero." (A: *It's getting so cheap.*)

So it's all a matter of routineness now I guess, and even your local cops want in on it because they see it all on television and it sounds exciting. And you got to have this on television first, in fiction, and then put it into reality; you just got to do it. The money's there for them and they demand more. And the bigger they get, of course it's like any bureaucracy, the more secure they feel, the more indispensable they feel and they probably won't get laid off then; that's how government departments actually work. That's where it's going now with this.

It's interesting too, to see the big boys, the biggest crooks, and how they operate because they've always operated the same way down through the ages and it works awfully well too. It's all to do with money. And here's an article here that JP Morgan Chase...

## **New York City Police Foundation — New York**

[jpmorganchase.com](http://jpmorganchase.com)

JPMorgan Chase recently donated an unprecedented \$4.6 million to the New York City Police Foundation. (A: *That's, you know, like their little... the old joke about the policeman's' ball. They have a little box there and you put the cash in it, you might walk out the door; if you don't you might end up in the cells. Anyway, that's the kind of money you want to put to them if you're a big company and you want them to look the other way on certain things, especially if you're under investigation. \$4.6 million to the New York City Police Foundation...*) The gift was the largest in the history of the foundation and will enable the New York City Police Department to strengthen security in the Big Apple. The money will pay for 1,000 new patrol car laptops, as well as security monitoring software in the NYPD's main data center. (A: *So*

*they're very grateful for getting that tremendous donation and well, there you go. Anyway, that means that JP Morgan Chase, put it this way, the police will find better things to do than have a look into what they're up to themselves.)*

And an article too - it's not bad - to do with... You got to understand, information is put out there by SO many different people AND organizations WITH intent. It's not just news. No news, in fact, is actually news. All the stuff you get across the television is all spun for particular reasons, or half-truths, or omissions of the rest of the truth, to leave you with a specific impression in your mind about the particular topic. We hear the same thing about Islamophobia and it says...

## **"Fear, Inc." Exposes the So-Called Experts and Donors Behind Islamophobia in the United States**

democracynow.org / September 6, 2011

*(A: It goes into some of the different people and organizations that are constantly peddling Islamophobia to terrify the general public. I'm sure the government's grateful for it because they got to keep this going while they go to war with more and more Muslim nations, until there's none left. And no one can convince me that that's not what the agenda is about; it's all Muslim countries they're going for. So it is a war on their particular religion.)*

A new report by the Center for American Progress called "Fear, Inc.: The Roots of the Islamophobia Network in America" shows how a small group of self-proclaimed experts backed by a host of donors are spreading fear and hostility toward Muslims in the United States. According to the report, these so-called experts peddle Islamophobia in the form of books, reports, websites, blogs and carefully crafted anti-Islam talking points. It also notes that right-wing Norwegian murderer Anders Breivik repeatedly cited these "experts" in his so-called "Manifesto." Among those the report highlights is Robert Spencer, author of a blog called "Jihad Watch" and leader of the group Stop Islamization of America, which coined the term "victory mosque at Ground Zero" to refer to a local effort to build a moderate Islamic center in New York City, turning it into an international spectacle. We speak with one of the report's authors, Faiz Shakir, vice president of the Center for American Progress and editor-in-chief of ThinkProgress.org. "

Anyway, it's not a bad article as they go through some of the techniques that are used. And obviously these so-called experts are getting good backing. I've no doubt the government's in on it too, and other interested parties, maybe another nation as well; I'm certain about that. But that's really how it's done. It's so easy, just constant repetition. And people seldom question anything that they hear. They don't think at all for themselves, unfortunately. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. The US, and Britain now too, are trying to do away with minimum wages, because we're in austerity now you understand. We have to compete with China apparently, and lots of other little countries, where you can knock up a shack somewhere and live in it. We can't even do that here without thousands of dollars of eco-impact studies and engineers coming to see if we can have that shack or not, you know, never mind the taxes and all the rest of it. Anyway, it doesn't matter about what reality is about, they want to do away with minimum wages.

## Minimum wage harming job opportunities for young

(A: *It's HARMING them. You understand, they get the marketers in and they warp things around; so "Minimum wage **harming** job opportunities for young" you see.*)

The minimum wage may be pricing young people out of work because employers are finding it too expensive to give them their first job (A: *Aw, poor employers, eh?*), Government pay advisers have said. (A: *This is from Britain.*)

telegraph.co.uk / Richard Tyler, and James Kirkup / 02 Oct 2011

The Low Pay Commission is examining the link between the minimum wage rate and youth unemployment.

Firms may be reluctant to create jobs by recruiting inexperienced staff because they are put off by the increased wage bill, the Low Pay Commission has suggested.

The Commission's intervention comes amid calls from businesses for ministers (A: *That's politicians.*) to freeze or even cut the rate to enable more young people to find work.

Conservative ministers meeting at the party's conference are to promise a raft of measures to boost the stalling UK economy. The claims will add to pressure on the Government to go further.

New rates for the minimum wage took effect on Saturday. For 18-20 year olds, the minimum wage is now £4.98, (A: *Wow.... wow, eh? Boy, that's high living, eh?*) up from £4.92. (A: *So that's great, eh? It went up a few cents, a few pennies.*) For 16-17 year olds, the new rate is £3.68, up from £3.64.

Tim Butcher, the commission's chief economist told the Daily Telegraph that the body is launching a new investigation into the role the minimum wage has played in Britain's growing youth unemployment problem. (A: *So it's all because of the minimum wage that they can't get jobs, you understand.*)

Official figures last month showed that almost 1 million of the 2.5 million people officially counted as unemployed in Britain are aged between 16 and 24.

Almost 220,000 have been out of work for more than a year and some economists fear a "lost generation" of young people who never learn the habits of work and face a lifelong struggle ever to find employment. (A: *Which ties in exactly, as I was telling you before, with how the future's always planned YEARS ahead of anything happening. Maggie Thatcher said on national television in a speech when she was in, THERE'LL BE A GENERATION THAT WILL NEVER SEE WORK IN THEIR LIFETIME, GET USED TO IT. See how they work everything WAY down the road from when you experience it happening?*)

In its official advice to the Government on this year's pay rates, the commission raised concerns about younger workers, the first such warning since the introduction of the legal minimum rate in 1999.

"Recent research has found evidence that in difficult economic circumstances the level of the minimum wage may have had an impact on the employment of young people," the commission told ministers. (*A: Anyway, they keep blaming the fact that it's too high.*)

They want to bring it down. That's what they want to do. And that's called austerity too. We must go down and down, and down, and compete with the Middle East and a few other countries; that's the agenda. And live in austerity. See, at one time it wasn't so bad, when they were really destroying the workforce, destroying even marriage, especially with the young; they ended up living with their parents. Now you don't even have parents anymore; you have maybe *a* parent, who goes through a whole bunch of boyfriends or whatever, so the house is always full, so you can't move back to the house. So if you don't have friends you're snookered, as they say. And so things aren't working out so well.

Now, I love it with these scientists with their computer models, because they pay lots of bucks, or we pay lots of bucks for the computer models I should say, so they can get the desired predictions. It's much like Nostradamus, you know, only less correct. And they get the predictions that they want to hear of course, because they're all employed through grants for life, hopefully, if they get in on a good con. They can have a great life just looking at the occasional computer and having a good old time, no hard work, no sweeping the floor and no digging trenches or anything hard. Anyway, the government was about to, in Canada, cut back on all of these scientists with their little stations, that we all pay for, who have made a career out of watching for an ozone hole, you see. I can remember when the first NASA craft said that we even had one and it was in the Southern Hemisphere at the time over Australia. And it was the only company actually ever since who's ever even been able to define that we actually have an ozone hole, a kind of thinning of the ozone. It's at the poles, though, you see. And no doubt it's a natural phenomenon, you see. But of course when they first discovered it, *oh it must be man-made*. Right off the bat, *it must be mad-made*. Well, how would they know that, unless they'd been studying it for thousands of years, which they weren't. This is how they get through with cons. And that was part of the first part about, *oh man's upsetting the whole climate*, a long time ago. So...

## **'Unprecedented' ozone hole opens over Canadian Arctic**

news.nationalpost.com / Oct 2, 2011 / Margaret Munro

A massive Arctic ozone hole opened up over the Northern Hemisphere for the first time this year, an international research team reported Sunday. (*A: Just in time, you see, because they were going to lay them all off.*)

The hole covered two million square kilometres — about twice the size of Ontario — and allowed high levels of harmful ultraviolet radiation to hit large swaths of northern Canada, Europe and Russia this spring, the 29 scientists say.

The discovery of the "unprecedented" hole comes as the Canadian government is moving to cut its ozone monitoring network. (*A: That means all these scientists living on grants, as they're yawning away there and occasionally glance at a computer, you know, no hard pressure, nothing.*)



Environment Canada scientist David Tarasick, whose team played a key role in the report published Sunday in the journal *Nature*, is not being allowed to discuss the discovery with the media.

Environment Canada told Postmedia News that an interview with Tarasick “cannot be granted.” Tarasick is one of several Environment Canada ozone scientists who have received letters warning of possible “discontinuance of job function” as part of the downsizing underway in the department.

Well, they’ll just have to go and get a real job then, I guess, and that’s all there is to it. Unless you can terrify them that, *oh if he doesn’t keep watching it we’ll all come to a sticky end*, you know. This is how they do it, all these scientists; they leave university and, really, nobody wants them, until they can catch in on a big scare tactic. That’s when they get, they hopefully get a grant for life; that’s what they’re all after. And another article too, is to do with the North Pole and ice, another big con, conflicting evidence. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I’m back and we’re Cutting Through The Matrix. I’ll put up a link tonight about ice and how the big organization was saying, *oh my God, there’ll be nothing left, shortly, of the ice sheet on the North Pole*. And I’ll put up another one which shows you it’s never been thicker.

**Ice Volumegate** - real-science.com / October 1, 2011 / Steven Goddard

**Alan:** However, I’ll go to the callers now; there’s two that have been hanging on. There’s one from the UK, long distance, so I’ll take him. Daniel from the UK, are you there?

**Daniel:** Hi. Do you know that big food company Kraft? Is that Kraft in reference to the occult meaning?

**Alan:** It is actually partly, yeah.

**Daniel:** Yeah. I’ve just been looking into that. You know, I’m trying to get my head around all this, all this stuff because you often refer to all this as a business plan, which would make sense from a practical point of view. But that’s where I get confused, with there’s the people at the top, as far as I know, are not religious. But there seems to be something else going on there; I hear things that they’re involved in, in other worship of something or other. Can you elaborate on that?

**Alan:** Religion itself, you got to remember, is a word, like so many words, we take for granted. And you think it’s somebody praying to a God, you forget there are actually religions where people *are* Gods. They believe they *are* Gods, you know. You can also have a religion, and actually one of them is the Secular Humanist Foundation, the organization, the Humanists. They have a religion where they believe that man is the supreme creature, maybe in the universe even, and therefore technically, *technically* they’re worshipping themselves. And there are branches of even orthodox religions which are worshipping themselves as well. People can worship themselves, and actually do in some branches of religions.

And it's all to do with what a God is. A God is all powerful, meaning, a God has authority, all power, all authority. His word can sink a country. It can command that people get killed or slaughtered. It can command that you don't breed or sterilize you. It can command that you're not allowed to eat anymore or you will not be able to afford to eat anymore. That's technically; a powerful deity is simply what a God is. And some religions you can be a priest or a rabbi even and you don't have to believe in a superhuman deity.

**Daniel:** What we're talking about here is that there are people who are obsessive, egotistical, megalomaniac weirdos who worship themselves as Gods?

**Alan:** Yeah, technically. In fact, the whole idea of eugenics is about that in a sense. They do praise themselves as the most supreme beings on the planet, at the top. Even those in the Lucky Gene Club, as they call themselves, they want to sterilize the rest of the world. They literally believe they are the cream of the crop. And they're using science to try and back it up by saying that, in eugenics, saying that those people who have the correct genes in them would have been at the top already.

**Daniel:** The theory of evolution, isn't it, like you said.

**Alan:** Yes. Absolutely, absolutely. From its very beginnings. That's why Darwinism was brought out in fact, was to demolish the old system of religion, which kept us a little bit humble if nothing else. I mean, there's no doubt about it; it made you self-reflect. That was part of the idea. But they did away with that; that was the idea. And the whole idea of Darwin's book on eugenics and survival, basically of the most favored races, he called it actually, meaning those people within races who rose to the top and had held onto it for generations, therefore they were breeding with each other, from established families, therefore they were the top, the crème-de-la-crème. And those all beneath them who hadn't made it, the working classes, were therefore what we would call today the junk genes. This is the big joke with genetics today, is that we're all junk genes at the bottom, if you haven't made it up already.

**Daniel:** So, really, I mean, it was just to sell it to the public. It was to sell... it was to make that ethos, that idea, that lunatic idea palatable to the public, to make... to give it scientific credence, wasn't it?

**Alan:** Yes. The whole trick with science is that it pretends to go by facts but we've seen enough cons in our own lifetime. And actually it's run by theories and a theory is someone's guess, remember. The rest of them get behind this guess and back it, until they come up with a better guess or a different guess and then they'll change without ever admitting that the last one must be wrong. Absolutely, that was the idea, that man's own ingenuity would give him a Godlike appearance and intellect and then mankind would decide where to go with it. But they set themselves up as a priesthood; that was the key. And that's what Bertrand Russell was talking about when he said that, EVENTUALLY THE ELITE WILL BECOME LIKE A DIFFERENT SPECIES TO THE ORDINARY PEOPLE THROUGH THEIR BREEDING, you know, etc.

**Daniel:** Yeah, I mean, I read something about that on a news article about 4 years ago. The article was 4 years old already a few months ago. And it was exactly that. Some guy called Oliver someone, over at the University of, College of London

or something like that, the School of Economics. He's an evolutionary Darwinist or something like this. And what he actually said in the article was, THE HUMAN RACE WILL SPLIT INTO TWO SPECIES, A SPECIES OF ELITE, GOOD-LOOKING PEOPLE, AND THEN A GOBLIN-LIKE SLAVE RACE. And he cited HG Wells's **The Time Machine** with the Eloi and the Morlocks underground. And he gave his timeline and stuff. But that surprised me because I've never seen anything actually in the paper about this, where these scientists are actually talking about splitting into two separate species.

**Alan:** Bertrand Russell actually said that. He said that in **The Impact of Science on Society**. He said, EVENTUALLY THE ELITE WILL BE A SEPARATE SPECIES ALL TOGETHER FROM THE WORKING CLASSES. And the rest of the classes, he said, will be trained by injunctions – laws, and training etc in school – and injections, and by their food and so on, to be stupider actually – he didn't say stupid, but he meant stupider – so that they would be easily controlled by this elite. And he said, EVENTUALLY THEY'LL BE SO FAR APART, THESE TWO SPECIES OF HUMANITY, THAT THE IDEA OF A REBELLION BY THE SHEEP WOULD BE SO UNTHINKABLE, IT WOULD BE LIKE THE SHEEP REBELLING ABOUT THE PRICE OF MUTTON. That was his joke about it.

**Daniel:** Yeah, but when it gets to that state there'll be no coming back from that. That will be it, forever then, really. Won't it?

**Alan:** Oh, absolutely. They've already gone a long way. They have used... I mean, he wasn't the only one too. Charles Galton Darwin who was the Manhattan Project scientist that worked on the bomb, he liked things that killed folk, he also went back to Britain and he wrote his book, **The Next Million Years**, about this very topic. And his fear was THAT THE LESSER TYPES WOULD OUTBREED THE SUPERIOR TYPES and he says, WE'VE GOT TO STOP THIS BY FINDING WAYS TO STERILIZE THEM AND PERHAPS ADD AND TAMPER WITH THEIR HORMONE LEVEL IN BOTH MALE AND FEMALE, AND MAKE THE MALE LESS AGGRESSIVE BY ADDING FEMALE HORMONES. And he says, THESE WILL HAVE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ALSO ON THE FEMALE WHO WILL BECOME MORE MASCULINE; THE MORE SHE GETS SHE ACTUALLY BECOMES MORE MASCULINE. So they have done all of this: injections, adding stuff to the water supply, the bisphenol A and the different estrogen mimickers and plastics in food and so on. It's even in baby food; they add it for bulk, under melamine. So they've done all of that and you can see the effects around you today.

**Daniel:** Yeah. I understand. Well just one quick thing. That Jersey is quite a dark place isn't it? I mean the Isle of Jersey, because I was reading about that, the children's home and the horrendous things that were supposed to have gone on there a few years ago. And I was reading more about it and it's almost like a completely separate place, like a dictatorship the way it's set up, with all its... Is that very Masonic over there, is it?

**Alan:** They definitely use Masons and all of that kind of thing because they're taught from the start to follow orders and obey commands from superiors, and also to keep secrets. So you'll find all through police, military, scientists, the whole judicial system, the Masonic thread; there's no doubt about it whatsoever. In fact, even Peter Wright who was head of MI-5 for a while wrote in his own book, **Spy Catcher**, he said that when he joined he was asked if he was a Mason, and the woman says to him, WELL YOU HAVE TO BE A MASON, EVERYONE HERE IS A MASON. It was a must-be. The Masonic fraternities, we cannot ever, ever dismiss them. They're

throughout your whole judicial system, lawyers, judges, etc, and you'll see the little hand signs as they make their gestures towards each other for what they want to happen. And the person who's simply accused is just standing silent in the dock, doesn't know what's going on. So you're living in a system where it's assured, secrecy is assured. The same with all the high levels of all militaries, including the US and Canadian, you have to be a Mason to get up there.

**Daniel:** Yeah. Okay, well that's great Alan. Thanks for answering my questions.

**Alan:** Thanks for calling. And there's Mark from Texas as well. Are you there Mark?

**Mark:** Alan, the government's job, job one, is to accumulate assets or collateral for the debt. That's their only job. They have used us. They have used our land. They have used the metals that we used to use in our medium of exchange; they've taken that. They're now trying to actually put a surcharge on the building blocks of life to service the debt. The carbon taxes, that's nothing but collateral to service the debt. It's all about servicing a debt on paper, from paper, by paper. Now the next thing, if you can't... The government... the Federal Reserve is nothing but the pusher-man. You remember the song, The Pusher-Man? That's all they are. The scum that's addicted to money. If you can't pay your drug dealer off, you can either run and hide or what can you do? You could steal money from somebody else. These wars are nothing but asset allocation to pay the debt.

**Alan:** And I agree. The problem is too, you understand, even going back to the days of Quigley who belonged to the CFR for the US, and he said THIS SYSTEM OF THEM PUTTING IN THEIR OWN GUYS TO MAKE SURE THE SAME SYSTEM KEPT GOING, IT WAS ALREADY AND HAD BEEN THERE FOR 60 YEARS when he wrote that in the 1960s. He said the same thing, THAT EVENTUALLY THESE CENTRAL BANKS, you see, he says, THEY'VE HOOKED UP ACROSS THE WORLD, THEY COME UNDER THE BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS; that's the big one in Basel, Switzerland. And the Feds go over, the guys from the Bank of England go over, all the different central banks. It's a private organization of central banks basically that deal with the whole country's money, and they come up with the next part of the plans, amongst themselves, and tell us what to do. And technically when you're a citizen, you're just basically the collateral for all debts; that's what you are. It doesn't matter where you live. In fact, when you immigrate it's two government battling over who has ownership to tax you; that's really what it's all about. That's all it's about, completely in fact, is who gets the right to tax you. And that's what we are; we're tax based and that's what we're regarded as by those in government, basically.

**Mark:** That is what it's all about. It's asset allocation. It's gathering of collateral. And sooner or later they're going to run out of collateral. Then what?

**Alan:** And they know that too. They know that too because they want the population to drop on the one hand, as they bring down the ones who may fight back. They bring in lots of people from other countries who have never had rebellions; they've never rebelled against anything. They have no idea what rights are, and they are very happy to bring these kinds in. So they're hoping to keep up the populations to pay off the debts - this is the story they give to the general public - by massive immigration, like they've done with Britain and it ends up in absolute disaster. So you know, they can't have it both ways. They either bring

the population down and then the ones that are left have to pay double or triple in taxes of what they're paying already, or they bring more immigrants in. We're supposed to destroy each nation with this crazy theory that only benefits the bankers themselves.

**Mark:** That's exactly right, because fighting these wars, I still say, is nothing but trying to gather collateral.

**Alan:** Absolutely.

**Mark:** That's all it is, to service our debt.

**Alan:** Even under the guise of the fat taxes, by the way, not just the carbon taxes. They've just introduced the fat tax in Denmark.

**Mark:** [Caller laughing.] What will they think of next?!?

**Alan:** Well the thing is, though, everybody says, oh yeah, there's too many fat people. Once your government – think about it – starts taxing your food, a basic essential, what's next? Your water, you see. Now, they can go the whole way with it. So this is going after their vital things for life. It's also collective punishment that they used in the Soviet Union; if one person shot someone in the far east of Russia the whole country would be disarmed sort of thing. Well, it's the same thing too. I know for a fact, if you grew up in poor areas, where people are skinny and they don't get much meat, they are the first ones to get hit with this fat tax, and now it's going to be more expensive for them, getting their meat once a week, maybe, if they're lucky, you know.

**Mark:** Now, not everybody can afford the best of food.

**Alan:** Oh, no, not these days. I see it up where I live too.

**Mark:** Yeah, I'm going to tell you something too. I think a lot of this battery technology and whatnot is nothing but a scare tactic to the countries that aren't involved in the central banks. All it is, because we know on paper... well here we go again, on paper; I don't mean it the other way this time though. On paper it can't work. It's not energy efficient. It's not cost saving; there's no cost savings to it. But they're saying, well we're going to take all, we got to get off the oil. It's a scare tactic, is all it is, to get them to install a central bank and then what they'll do is take the natural resources of those countries that still don't have a central bank and they'll throw that in, against collateral, for the derivative debt.

**Alan:** Well, you're right on with that because you know this new bank they want to set up in the States for infrastructure, *all* infrastructure, they're going to make basically a new central bank dealing just with that. That's a form of the same thing that you're just talking about there.

**Mark:** I think so too.

**Alan:** And so it'll be the same across the rest of the world. And eventually you will have one bank for all energy units. In fact, the CO2 bank is not just CO2; it's all

energy that's got to be under. Because the whole thing is done through some crazy Wizard of Oz mathematical equation of turning this little bit of oil, or whatever it is, into carbon, in the sky; this is the joke about the whole darn thing. Literally, you will be an energy consumer, even though you're producing energy yourself; it's the only form of creating anything, is human endeavor. The fact is, you're going to get taxed on everything that you consume or need to use, or how much did it take to make that hammer in energy, etc. This is a massive surtax on everything that you need to keep alive.

**Mark:** And if they can keep finding smaller, scientists, if the scientists can keep finding smaller and smaller particles, that we can't see, that's just another level of possible taxation.

**Alan:** Oh, there's no end to it.

**Mark:** There is no end to this!

**Alan:** There is no end. Last week I mentioned some, I put up a site, it's called Some of the World's Craziest Taxes in History. Including the light tax, and the window tax, during King William III, and that's why you see so many of the windows bricked up now in Britain, from that era, because you were charged by every pane that allowed light into your room; that was a form of taxation.

**Mark:** It's a crazy world, bud... It's a mad, mad world.

**Alan:** Yeah. Thanks for calling.

**Mark:** Thanks Alan. I enjoyed it.

**Alan:** Back after this.

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix and I'm going to show you how perception management is done in the following two articles. Actually they're both about the same information that was given out from the Department of National Statistics. You know it's just like George Orwell had that, the Department of National Statistics; it's all here. And every country's got them, you see. And how the far left, the ones who are for multi-everything and all kinds of sexual things and so on, are cheering about this. And then you see the other side of it, which is more based on reality. It says...

## **More varieties of sex please, we're British**

Official statistics show big rise in number of Britons unsure of their sexuality  
[independent.co.uk](http://independent.co.uk) / Adam Sherwin / 29 September 2011

Britons are becoming more sexually adventurous, with fewer defining themselves as "straight" and an increasing number answering "don't know" when asked to define their leanings.

The annual Integrated Household Survey (IHS) published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS), found a record number of people answering: "I'm sorry I haven't a clue," when asked about their sexual identity.

Maybe they've watched so much television they just don't know what identity is anymore. Anyway, it's a big hurrah-hurrah one and you think *my God, everybody is changing*. Because all you get on media, you see, is the same stuff; you think nothing else is happening in the world. Then you get this article that opposes it and it's more factual.

## **Whatever the BBC say, Britain is still mainly white, Christian (A: *At least culture-wise.*) and straight**

[dailymail.co.uk](mailto:dailymail.co.uk) / Richard Littlejohn / 30th September 2011

There are lies, damned lies and statistics. And then there's the Integrated Household Survey from the Office of National Statistics.

The Government spends a small fortune on this annual exercise, which is designed to identify social trends in Britain. This year was the biggest ever, with a record 420,000 respondents. (A: *So they send out these questionnaires.*)

According to the ONS, it is the definitive study of 'sexual identity, religion, ethnicity and perceived general health'.

Surprising? 70 per cent of Britons claim to be Christian even if they do not attend church (A: *That wasn't in the other one, you see.*)

Presumably, it is intended to reinforce the officially endorsed portrait of Britain as a vibrant mosaic of multi-cultural, religious and sexual diversity.

Certainly, that's how it has been greeted on the Left. The Independent (A: *The newspaper.*), for instance, was ecstatic. 'Official statistics show big rise in number of Britons unsure of their sexuality' it declared yesterday.

Under the headline 'More varieties of sex, please, we're British', the paper rejoices: 'Britons are becoming more sexually adventurous, with fewer defining themselves as "straight" and an increasing number answering "don't know" when asked to define their leanings.'

Undoubtedly, if you ignore the facts, the Indy's got a point. Over the past 12 months, there was a fall of 0.2 per cent in the number of adults who say they are 'straight'.

That's right, 0.2 per cent. His name is Reg, he lives in Rotherham, he hasn't had a girlfriend for five years (A: *That's his little joke. So that's probably, really, out of the survey, that's about one person.*) . . .

But that doesn't exactly suggest Britain is entering an enlightened era of hedonistic sexual flexibility.

I'd have thought the new figures would come as something of a disappointment to the high priests of homosexual equality. Only 1.3 per cent of men who replied to the survey say they are gay and just 0.6 per cent of women identify as lesbian. (A: *That's amazing. I thought it would be a lot*

*more than that after a whole lifetime of propaganda and everything you see on television would make you think that's how the world is.)*

That's well short of the seven per cent claimed by the gay rights organisation Stonewall. And nowhere near the alleged 27 per cent who were reported by the BBC website last year to be either gay or bisexual. (A: *I think that's just the ones that work in the BBC though.*)

Ninety four per cent of men and women consider themselves 100 per cent heterosexual. Another four per cent said they couldn't or wouldn't answer the question. (A: *So they didn't want to answer the question.*) In other words: mind your own damn business. (A: *yeah.*)

See how perception management can really throw you off? Unless you think for yourself.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you. **And remember, buy the books and help donate and keep me ticking along here.**

## Topics of show covered in following links:

[Internet Firms Co-opted for Surveillance](#)

[JPMorgan Chase Drops 4.6 Million Bucks in New York Police Foundation Box](#)

[Experts and Donors behind Islamophobia](#)

[Minimum Wage will Go](#)

[Canada announces Downsizing Ozone Monitoring and--Bingo, Scientist about to Lose Jobs Say an Unprecedented Hole Opens over Canadian Arctic](#)

[SSSHHHH! Arctic Ice is Vastly Thicker](#)

[Perception Management Example](#)

[--More Accurate](#)

---

## Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

**[BOOKS](#)** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:  
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

Ancient Religions  
and History MP3

**[CDs](#)**

CDs:  
Part 1 (1998) and

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'  
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)



Part 2 (1998-2000)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1"  
Esoterica and ...TIME"

&

"Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,